

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 10/044,927 | 01/11/2002 | Robert N. Goldberg | 16159.018001; P6405 | 4976 | | |
| 32615 | 7590 03/29/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| OSHA & MAY L.L.P./SUN | | | LY, A | LY, ANH | | |
| HOUSTON, | NEY, SUITE 2800 TX 77010 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| , | | | 2162 | 2162 DATE MAIL ED: 03/29/2005 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 02/20/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/044,927 | GOLDBERG ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Anh Ly | 2162 | | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | Anh Ly | 2162 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | | <u> </u> | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband ment, affidavit, or other evidence, veal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of e reply must be filed within one of to g date of the final rejection. | donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri | cation in st for Continued ods: |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a |
| The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS | 1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of | s of the date of filing | the Notice of |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | • • • | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | • | • |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affiday | rit or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a l). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by ———— | | • | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| | | JEAN MARY | CHRIELUS EXAMINER |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/044,927

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The newly added limitations to claims 1, 10, 11 and 22 require more consideration and search.